

As the name suggests, the capital buffer is countercyclical, i.e. it is activated in times of excessive credit growth in order to create a safety cushion in the event of a potential market downturn.

credit positions, so that the CCB can meet the actual needs of the market. The SNB monitors the market and may adapt or deactivate the CCB if it considers it

For the time being, the Swiss Federal Council has required domestic banks to build up, by the end of September this year and then permanently to hold, an additional capital buffer amounting to 1 % of their directly or indirectly mortgagebacked risk-weighted assets where the mortgaged property is Swiss residential real estate. This applies to both owner-occupied and investment properties.

It is estimated that mortgage loans of between CHF 600 to 650 billion are involved and that additional equity capital of CHF 2 to 3 billion will be necessary to meet the new requirements. Therefore, it is mortgage banks that are mainly affected by the measure.

Mortgagors could also be affected, as the additional capital requirements of the banks could increase the price of mortgages. However, banks are confident that mortgage interest rates will increase by less than 20 basis points, i.e. less than 0.2%, and that the effect of the new capital requirement on mortgagors will not be significant.

View of experts

appropriate to do so.

Market participants do not expect the CCB to have a major influence on real estate prices or on the real estate market in general. The expected increase in the cost of mortgages is likely to be too small to have significant effects on the demand for residential properties or on their prices.

For this reason, some exponents consider that the CCB is not an adequate instrument in the fight against a real estate bubble. In their view, a general increase in interest rates would be preferable, but, given the risk of further

Swiss Federal Government introduces compulsory countercyclical capital buffer

appreciation of the Swiss Franc, rather impracticable. There is also the view that the CCB is more of a measure for financial stability.

Others, even more radically, see the CCB not as an instrument against the real estate bubble, but as a measure for the protection of private households and investors against over-indebtedness. In fact, they contend that the principal effect of the CCB is to increase the risk-sensibility of mortgagees to households that are not able to finance long term loans, and to investors that invest with high loan capital at low purchase returns.

Opinions also diverge on the timing of the activation of the CCB. Whereas some would have preferred to wait some time before activation, others see the measure as due. Likewise, opinions diverge on the need to activate the CCB for the entire country. Some market participants hold that limiting the CCB to hot spots such as the greater Geneva area, the Zurich region and certain tourist regions would be sufficient. Others agree with the SNB and the Swiss Federal Council that the CCB is required for the entire country.

Given the lack of experience of similar instruments applied under similar conditions, it will be interesting to monitor the effect of the measure on the real estate market over the next couple of months.

Explore our site

Our offices

> Offices

- > About us
- > People
- > Expertise
- > Knowledge
- > News
- Careers
- > Corporate responsibility

© Norton Rose LLP 2013

Sitemap Legal notices Privacy policy Cookies policy Anti-bribery and corruption standard